

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The instant Supplemental Response to Restriction Requirement replaces the Restriction Requirement previously filed electronically today. The Response to Restriction Requirement previously filed electronically today contained an inadvertent clerical error.

Applicants hereby elect the invention of Group II, claims 2 through 9, drawn to a radar system with self-testing. Applicants make this election with traverse.

Applicants submit that the method of independent claim 1 should be searched together with the subject matter of the elected Group II invention. Claim 1 and dependent claims 3 through 9 are, in Applicants' opinion, drawn to the same general inventive concept. It is respectfully submitted that there is no reason why the Examiner cannot search the subject matter of independent claims 1 and 2 as the scope of the search with regard to dependent claims 3 through 9 which depend from both of these independent claims would be identical.

Accordingly, Applicant traverses the Examiner's restriction requirement with respect to Groups I and II and requests that these two Groups be joined for purposes of examination.

An early action on the merits is respectfully requested.

An earnest and thorough attempt has been made by the undersigned to resolve the outstanding issues in this case and place same in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions or feels that a telephone or personal interview would be helpful in resolving any outstanding issues which remain in this application after consideration of this amendment, the

Examiner is courteously invited to telephone the undersigned and the same would be gratefully appreciated.

If any fees are required in connection with this case, it is respectfully requested that they be charged to Deposit Account No. 02-0184.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Gregory P. LaPointe #28395/
Gregory P. LaPointe
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No.: 28,395

Telephone: 203-777-6628
Telefax: 203-865-0297

Date: November 25, 2008